The ATtune Knee Outcome Study: prospective evaluation of a novel uncemented rotating platform knee system

Published: 17-01-2020 Last updated: 24-05-2024

The primary objective is to report survivorship, complications and patient reported outcome measures associated with the uncemented ATTUNE rotating platform knee system. Secondary objectives are (1) evaluate patient reported (patellofemoral)...

Ethical reviewApproved WMOStatusRecruitingHealth condition typeJoint disordersStudy typeInterventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON55973

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

ATKOS

Condition

Joint disorders

Synonym

Knee Osteoarthritis; Knee cartilage wear-and-tear

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Spaarne Gasthuis

1 - The ATtune Knee Outcome Study: prospective evaluation of a novel uncemented rota ... 27-06-2025

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: DePuy Synthes, Johnson & Johnson

Intervention

Keyword: Implant design, Survival, Total Knee Arthroplasty, Uncemented

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Survival rate and complication rate associated with the ATTUNE knee system.

Secondary outcome

- Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMS), which are the 'Forgotten Joint

Score 12' and the 'Kujala Anterior Knee Pain Scale' for the current study.

- Physical function and return to sports, which is measured with 'Performance

Based Measurments' (PBM) and 2 1-question questionnaires (Tegner and UCLA

activity rating scale)

- Return to work is assessed by a short self-composed questionnaire, which

evaluates what kind of work the patients do, when they started after surgery

and whether this is the same as their preoperative job.

- A long-leg radiograph is performed 1 year after surgery to assess mechanical

alignment of the prosthesis with consideration of femur and tibia.

- Psychologic factors are assessed with 3 questionnaires preoperative, 5 years

and 10 years postoperative (Pain Self Efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ); Patient

Health Questionnaire (PHQ-2); Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS))

Study description

Background summary

2 - The ATtune Knee Outcome Study: prospective evaluation of a novel uncemented rota ... 27-06-2025

Every year, 1.5 million total knee arthroplasties (TKA) are performed worldwide in patients whose joints have been severely affected by osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, or trauma, causing intense pain and loss of function. Due to the ageing society, these numbers are expected to have increased six-fold to 3.48 million cases annually by 2030. Even though joint replacement provides satisfactory and durable results for most patients, 20% is thought to still not be satisfied with their artificial joint. Preoperative mental status and related pain coping have been identified as factors that may lead to dissatisfaction. A review by Baert et al identified catastrophic thinking and poor coping capabilities to predict more pain after TKA, whereas evidence on the impact on knee function remains conflicting in nature. Most studies assess this influence only 1 year after surgery, with only the study by Brander et al prolonging the follow-up to 5 years. Brander et al found depression to still be associated with outcomes 5 years after TKA and reported significant improvements after the 1 year follow-up mark in the dissatisfied population. Whether pain catastrophising remains a significant predictor of dissatisfaction at long-term follow-up remains to be elucidated.

To accommodate this dissatisfied population and improve durability of implants even more, several knee systems have been developed over the years. One of the newest models is the ATTUNE knee system (DePuy, Warsaw, Indiana, USA), first implanted in 2011 and widely available since 2013. One of the landmark features is a gradually reducing radius in the geometry of the femoral component, more closely mimicking the anatomical patellofemoral joint and facilitating more natural femoral rollback during flexion. Comparisons of the cemented ATTUNE with previous knee systems show promising results in terms of patellofemoral outcomes, but fail to demonstrate definitive superiority in terms of all patient reported outcomes. Clinical superiority of the ATTUNE tends to abate with longer follow-up, implicating a possible superiority in the short-term recovery and return to activities. There is no follow-up study reporting the results of the uncemented ATTUNE. Moreover, all previously cited studies report better patellofemoral outcomes with patellar resurfacing, making it still unclear whether the implicated superior design changes of the femoral component hold ground without patellar resurfacing.

It is of utmost importance to assess the metric properties of a questionnaires when investigating an outcome. A recent study showed the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) activity scale to be the best measure for assessment of activity outcome after arthroplasty surgery. To our knowledge, no validated Dutch version of the UCLA activity scale has been made.

Study objective

The primary objective is to report survivorship, complications and patient reported outcome measures associated with the uncemented ATTUNE rotating platform knee system. Secondary objectives are (1) evaluate patient reported (patellofemoral) outcomes (2) assess return to work and sport after TKA and (3) analyse psychologic factors (such as pain catastrophising and coping) and the

impact on dissatisfaction following TKA.

Study design

Multi-center prospective cohort study.

Intervention

The only difference with standard care is uncemented fixation of the prosthesis. Patients normally receive a cemented prosthesis in our institution (Spaarne Gasthuis), in other participating institutions uncemented fixation can be standard of care.

Study burden and risks

Overall, we believe the additional risks involved with the current study are minimal and limited to the extra radiation dosage for long-leg radiography, which is standard of care in many other institutions. The implant has been shown to migrate well below threshold after 2 years using RSA (which is a predictor for long-term fixation). Additional questionnaires and follow-up visits might be an inconvenience for some patients, but pose no extra risk and some patients may even like the extra attention and care given.

Contacts

Public

Spaarne Gasthuis

Spaarnepoort 1 Hoofddorp 2134 TM NL

Scientific

Spaarne Gasthuis

Spaarnepoort 1 Hoofddorp 2134 TM NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

End-stage osteoarthritis of the knee warranting joint replacement therapy.

Capability and willingness to sign informed consent and comply with follow-up procedures.

Age between 21-90 years.

Capable enough in Dutch or English to be able to understand study procedures

Exclusion criteria

An a-priori risk for a posterior-stabilized knee system (history of patellectomy or preoperative flexion limitation <90 degrees)

Unable or unwilling to sign informed consent and comply with follow-up

Indication for primary revision arthroplasty

Absolute indication for cemented fixation (decreased bone stock/quality of spongiosa)

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

5 - The ATtune Knee Outcome Study: prospective evaluation of a novel uncemented rota ... 27-06-2025

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Treatment

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting
Start date (anticipated): 03-02-2020

Enrollment: 900

Type: Actual

Medical products/devices used

Generic name: Uncemented Attune Rotating Platform total knee

arthroplasty

Registration: Yes - CE intended use

Ethics review

Approved WMO

Date: 17-01-2020

Application type: First submission

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 21-04-2021

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Approved WMO

Date: 10-08-2023

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: METC Amsterdam UMC

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

ID: 28720

Source: Nationaal Trial Register

Title:

In other registers

Register ID

CCMO NL71274.029.19