# Effect of low-volume (1L) vs high-volume (2L) bowel preparation on cost-effectiveness and quality of life (RESULT study). A multicenter randomized controlled trial

Published: 16-02-2022 Last updated: 05-04-2024

The main objectives of this study are:1. The effect of high (2L) vs low (1L) volume bowel preparation on the quality of life of patients undergoing colonoscopy. 2. The cost-effectiveness of low-volume bowel preparation versus high-volume preparation...

**Ethical review** Approved WMO

**Status** Recruitment stopped

Health condition type Malignant and unspecified neoplasms gastrointestinal NEC

Study type Interventional

# Summary

#### ID

**NL-OMON52176** 

#### Source

**ToetsingOnline** 

**Brief title** 

**RESULT** 

#### Condition

Malignant and unspecified neoplasms gastrointestinal NEC

#### **Synonym**

bowel cancer, Colorectal cancer

#### Research involving

Human

### **Sponsors and support**

**Primary sponsor:** Radboud Universitair Medisch Centrum

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W,Investigator initiated

studie financieel support door Norgine, Norgine

#### Intervention

**Keyword:** bowel preparation, colonoscopy, Colorectal carcinoma, quality of life

#### **Outcome measures**

#### **Primary outcome**

Main endpoints are BBPS result (total and per segment), and proportion of adequately prepared patients per type of bowel preparation.

#### **Secondary outcome**

Secondary endpoints include: the absolute score SF-36 and EQ-5D-5L before and after bowel preparation, and incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER), in terms of costs per QALYs, correlation of the clinical parameters and tolerability to QoL scores, total individual costs, treating physician advised surveillance interval per study arm, and subgroup differences for colonoscopy indication and prior experience with bowel preparation.

# **Study description**

#### **Background summary**

Adequate bowel preparation for colonoscopy is paramount for optimal diagnostic accuracy and safety. However, the need for high volumes to clean the colon often makes it difficult for patients to adhere to. Therefore, new ultra-low volume bowel preparation fluids have been developed. Little is known on the impact of these ultra-low volume bowel preparation fluids (1L), compared to high-volume (2L) laxatives on quality of life (QoL) and cost-effectiveness. This study aims to provide further evidence on the presumed positive effect of ultra-low volume bowel preparation on patients\* QoL and cost-effectiveness, in

addition to its already demonstrated positive effect on bowel cleansing for colonoscopy.

#### Study objective

The main objectives of this study are:

- 1. The effect of high (2L) vs low (1L) volume bowel preparation on the quality of life of patients undergoing colonoscopy.
- 2. The cost-effectiveness of low-volume bowel preparation versus high-volume preparation.

Secondary study aims are to compare:

- 1. The Boston Bowel Preparation Score (BBPS) between low- versus high-volume preparation
- 2. Subgroup differences for the following predefined subgroups: prior experience with bowel preparation, and colonoscopy indication.
- 3. Measured change in QoL effect of bowel preparations in general using both treatment groups
- 4. Correlation of patient reported QoL with clinical parameters and reported bowel preparation tolerability

#### Study design

This multicenter randomized controlled trial (RCT) will be conducted in four hospitals in the Netherlands. We will use secure web-based questionnaires and invite patients to fill in the questionnaire before starting bowel preparation (baseline, t=0) and within 1 week (t=1) after colonoscopy, to assess the impact of bowel preparation on QoL and explore costs and productivity loss for cost-effectiveness analysis. Data on colonoscopy findings and complications will be retrieved from the patients\* medical record.

#### Intervention

Patients will be randomized during the pre-colonoscopy hospital visit between a specialized low-volume 1-liter bowel preparation fluid (Pleinvue) and an high-volume 2-liter bowel preparation fluid (Moviprep), which are both currently used as bowel preparation for colonoscopy in the Netherlands.

#### Study burden and risks

There is no direct benefit for patients participating in this study. Risk associated with participation are considered minimal, and are limited to the possibility privacy related issues. Nonetheless, this is considered to be minimal because of the use of pseudonymized data and GDPR compliant databases. In the future, results from this study could possibly benefit many patients undergoing colonoscopy, with the possibility of reducing the need for repeat

colonoscopies and improving patient experience of colonoscopies.

## **Contacts**

#### **Public**

Radboud Universitair Medisch Centrum

Geert Grooteplein Zuid 10 Nijmegen 6525GA NL

#### **Scientific**

Radboud Universitair Medisch Centrum

Geert Grooteplein Zuid 10 Nijmegen 6525GA NL

## **Trial sites**

#### **Listed location countries**

**Netherlands** 

# **Eligibility criteria**

#### Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

#### **Inclusion criteria**

- Age > 18 years
- Planned elective colonoscopy for screening or diagnostic indications

## **Exclusion criteria**

- Therapeutic colonoscopy (e.g. endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR)
- History of (sub) total colectomy
  - 4 Effect of low-volume (1L) vs high-volume (2L) bowel preparation on cost-effectiv ... 24-06-2025

- Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)
- Inpatient status
- Indication for an intensified bowel preparation regime
- Emergency colonoscopy
- Limited Dutch language skills
- Dementia
- Visual impairment
- Commonly accepted contra-indications for non-iso osmotic bowel preparation and ascorbate:
- o Glucose-6-phosphate-dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency
- o (sub)ileus
- o Bowel obstruction or perforation
- o Acute abdomen
- o Gastroparesis
- o intolerance for any of the formulations ingredients
- o Severe renal insufficiency (creatinine clearance < 30mL/min)
- o Congestive heart failure (NYHA III or IV)
- o Phenylketonuria

# Study design

## **Design**

Study type: Interventional

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Uncontrolled

Primary purpose: Treatment

#### Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 10-05-2022

Enrollment: 470

Type: Actual

# Medical products/devices used

Product type: Medicine
Brand name: Moviprep

Generic name: Polyethylene glycole with ascorbate

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

Product type: Medicine

Brand name: Plenvu

Generic name: Polyethylene glycole with ascorbate

Registration: Yes - NL intended use

# **Ethics review**

Approved WMO

Date: 16-02-2022

Application type: First submission

Review commission: CMO regio Arnhem-Nijmegen (Nijmegen)

Approved WMO

Date: 14-03-2022

Application type: First submission

Review commission: CMO regio Arnhem-Nijmegen (Nijmegen)

Approved WMO

Date: 29-06-2022

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: CMO regio Arnhem-Nijmegen (Nijmegen)

Approved WMO

Date: 31-08-2022

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: CMO regio Arnhem-Nijmegen (Nijmegen)

# **Study registrations**

## Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

# Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

# In other registers

Register ID

EudraCT EUCTR2021-004885-36-NL

CCMO NL79014.091.21

# **Study results**

Date completed: 20-05-2023

Actual enrolment: 467

## **Summary results**

Trial is onging in other countries