

# Diverticulitis Recurrences or Continuing symptoms : Operative versus Conservative Treatment, A MULTICENTER RANDOMISED CLINICAL TRIAL

Published: 15-12-2008

Last updated: 06-05-2024

The objective is to compare the outcome of elective surgery to conservative management for patients with persisting abdominal complaints after an episode of diverticulitis and/or frequently recurring diverticulitis (within one year).

<b>Ethical review</b>	Approved WMO
<b>Status</b>	Recruitment stopped
<b>Health condition type</b>	Diverticular disorders
<b>Study type</b>	Interventional

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON39335

### Source

ToetsingOnline

### Brief title

DIRECT-trial

### Condition

- Diverticular disorders

### Synonym

diverticulitis, inflammation of outpouchings in the colonic wall

### Research involving

Human

### Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Meander Medisch Centrum

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** ZonMW

## Intervention

**Keyword:** conservative, Diverticulitis, operative, persisting, recurrence, recurrent

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

Quality of life measured by the Gastro-intestinal Quality of Life Index,

Shortform-36, EuroQol-5D, ROME III vragenlijst and VAS.

### Secondary outcome

- Mortality
- Morbidity
- Recurrence rate
- Total in-hospital costs (including that of subsequent episodes), as well as costs related to sick leave from paid work and health care consumption.

## Study description

### Background summary

Persisting abdominal complaints are common after an episode of diverticulitis treated conservatively. Furthermore, some patients develop diverticulitis recurrences within a year. These two groups of patients suffer greatly from their disease impairing quality of life and increasing costs due to multiple specialist consultations, pain medication and sick-leave from paid work. Both conservative and operative management of patients with persisting abdominal complaints after an episode of diverticulitis and/or frequently recurring diverticulitis (within one year) are applied. However, direct comparison by a randomised controlled trial is necessary to determine which is superior in relieving symptoms, optimising QoL, minimising costs and preventing diverticulitis recurrences against acceptable morbidity and mortality associated with surgery or the occurrence of a complicated recurrence after conservative management. We, therefore, constructed a randomised clinical trial comparing these two

treatment strategies.

## **Study objective**

The objective is to compare the outcome of elective surgery to conservative management for patients with persisting abdominal complaints after an episode of diverticulitis and/or frequently recurring diverticulitis (within one year).

## **Study design**

Multicenter randomised clinical trial with a follow-up of 1 year.

## **Intervention**

Patients randomised for conservative treatment are treated according to the current daily practice (antibiotics, analgetics and/or expectant management). Patients randomised for elective resection will undergo an elective resection of the affected colon segment. Preferably, a laparoscopic approach is used.

## **Study burden and risks**

Burdens:

- The filling out of the quality of life questionnaires. The filling out of these surveys will take approximately (5x20) 150 minutes of the patient's time.
- Patients are asked to revisit their local hospital to sign the informed consent. Baseline data will also be collected. This will take 10 minutes.
- The possible, but unlikely, unfavorable outcome of elective resection.
- Randomisation may be a burden giving the fact that patients are subjected to fate for treatment allocation.

Benefits:

The potential benefits of participation in this study for this specific group of patients is a potential final answer to the discussion about the optimal treatment for patients with persisting abdominal symptoms after a diverticulitis episode treated conservatively.

The close follow-up regarding objective and subjective outcome of treatment in the studied subjects is also likely to be beneficial.

## **Contacts**

### **Public**

Meander Medisch Centrum

Utrechtseweg 160

Amersfoort 3818 ES  
NL  
**Scientific**  
Meander Medisch Centrum

Utrechtseweg 160  
Amersfoort 3818 ES  
NL

## Trial sites

### Listed location countries

Netherlands

## Eligibility criteria

### Age

Adults (18-64 years)  
Elderly (65 years and older)

### Inclusion criteria

- Age 18-75 years.
- A well documented (CT-scan, sonography or endoscopy) previous episode of diverticulitis.
- Patients presenting with either persisting abdominal complaints and/or frequently recurring diverticulitis after an episode of diverticulitis.  
Persisting abdominal complaints may include patients with:
  - continuing lower left abdominal pain AND/OR persistent change in bowel habits AND/OR persistent blood loss.
  - Symptoms must exist longer than 3 months after a previous episode of diverticulitis.
  - Symptoms must be accompanied by changes in the colonic wall on a recent CT-scan, sonography or endoscopy.
- Frequently recurring diverticulitis is defined as:
  - A total of three or more in-hospital presentations for an episode of diverticulitis within 2 years. As described previously, (at least) one episode must be well documented (CT-scan, sonography or endoscopy).
  - A minimal interval of 3 months between the episodes is mandatory.
  - ASA I-III

## Exclusion criteria

- Patients with elective or emergency surgery for acute diverticulitis in the past.
- Patients with an absolute operation indication (perforation with purulent/fecal peritonitis, symptomatic bowel stenosis or fistula).
- Patients with colorectal malignancies.
- Patients with a psychiatric disease or other conditions making them incapable of filling out the questionnaires or completing the objective follow up tests.
- Patients in ASA class III who are at high risk for per- and postoperative complications due to severe co-morbidity as regarded by the surgeon and/or the patients specialists

## Study design

### Design

Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	Active
Primary purpose:	Treatment

### Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruitment stopped
Start date (anticipated):	02-06-2010
Enrollment:	214
Type:	Actual

## Ethics review

Approved WMO	
Date:	15-12-2008
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO	
Date:	17-04-2009
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

  

Approved WMO	
Date:	14-01-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

  

Approved WMO	
Date:	12-03-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

  

Approved WMO	
Date:	02-04-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

  

Approved WMO	
Date:	31-05-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

  

Approved WMO	
Date:	21-06-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

  

Approved WMO	
Date:	13-07-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

  

Approved WMO	
Date:	23-07-2010
Application type:	Amendment

Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	27-07-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	17-09-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	24-09-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	26-11-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	09-12-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	27-12-2010
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	24-01-2011
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	

Date:	01-02-2011
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	15-02-2011
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	30-05-2011
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	17-06-2011
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	05-09-2011
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	23-09-2011
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	14-11-2011
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)
Approved WMO	
Date:	15-11-2011
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United



(Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 31-01-2012

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 13-09-2012

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 24-09-2012

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 06-11-2012

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

Approved WMO

Date: 03-07-2013

Application type: Amendment

Review commission: MEC-U: Medical Research Ethics Committees United (Nieuwegein)

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

**In other registers**

Register	ID
CCMO	NL24903.100.08