

Cost-effectiveness of a stepped care strategy to improve symptoms of depression or anxiety in patients treated for head and neck cancer or lung cancer.

Published: 20-08-2009

Last updated: 06-05-2024

To evaluate cost-effectiveness of a stepped care strategy to improve symptoms of depression or anxiety in patients treated for head and neck cancer or lung cancer.

Ethical review	Approved WMO
Status	Recruitment stopped
Health condition type	Other condition
Study type	Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON39087

Source

ToetsingOnline

Brief title

STEP-study

Condition

- Other condition
- Respiratory and mediastinal neoplasms malignant and unspecified
- Anxiety disorders and symptoms

Synonym

anxiety and depression; lung and head and neck neoplasms

Health condition

psychische stoornissen: angststoornissen en -symptomen

Research involving

Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: ZonMw

Intervention

Keyword: anxiety and depression, cost-efficacy, disease management, head and neck neoplasms, Lung neoplasms, stepped care

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Primary outcome is the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and costs (health care utilization and work loss (TIC-P and PRODISQ modules)).

Secondary outcome

Secondary outcome measures are quality of life questionnaires (EORTC QLQ-C30, EORTC QLQ-HN35, EORTC QLQ-LC13) and patient satisfaction with care (EORTC QLQ-PATSAT).

Study description

Background summary

In the Netherlands over 10.000 patients are diagnosed with lung cancer (LC) or head and neck cancer (HNC) every year of whom 25-50% have comorbid anxiety or depression after treatment. Although there is evidence on efficacy of psychosocial cancer care in general, patients with poor survival rates (LC) or less prevalent tumours (HNC) are often not involved, while these patients are among the most distressed patients. Barriers to admission to psychosocial care are a lack of adequate screening instruments in oncology settings, and that traditional models of the delivery of psychosocial care do not meet current demands. A stepped care approach including a web-based self-help intervention has the potential to improve the efficiency of psychosocial care.

Study objective

To evaluate cost-effectiveness of a stepped care strategy to improve symptoms of depression or anxiety in patients treated for head and neck cancer or lung cancer.

Study design

Randomised controlled trial. Follow up at 3, 6, 9 and 12 months.

Intervention

Stepped care model with 4 evidence based steps: 1. Watchful waiting, 2. Internet-based self-help, 3. Problem Solving Therapy applied by a nurse, and 4. Specialised psychological interventions and/or antidepressant medication. Control group patients receive care as usual which most often is no intervention or referral to specialised intervention.

Study burden and risks

Risks are negligible and burden is low. In case of positive results, participating patients will benefit immediately from the stepped care approach.

Contacts

Public

Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum

De Boelelaan 1118
Amsterdam 1007 MB
NL

Scientific

Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum

De Boelelaan 1118
Amsterdam 1007 MB
NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years)

Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

Treatment for UICC stage I-IV lung or head and neck carcinoma: ICD-10 C00-C14 (lip, oral cavity and pharynx), C32 (larynx), C33 (trachea), C34 (lung); psychological distress or possible or probable cases of depression or anxiety as assessed by the Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS-Anxiety subscale score > 7 and/or HADS-Depression subscale score > 7 , or total score on HADS > 14). For more details, see page 11 of the research protocol.

Exclusion criteria

Other (neurological) diseases causing cognitive dysfunction; no motivation to undergo psychosocial therapy; current treatment for a depressive or anxiety disorder; end of treatment for a psychiatric disorder less than two months ago, high suicide risk; psychotic and/or manic signs; too little knowledge of the Dutch language to fill out the questionnaires.

Study design

Design

Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	Active
Primary purpose:	Health services research

Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Recruitment stopped
Start date (anticipated):	12-12-2009
Enrollment:	152
Type:	Actual

Ethics review

Approved WMO	
Date:	20-08-2009
Application type:	First submission
Review commission:	METC Amsterdam UMC
Approved WMO	
Date:	13-04-2012
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC Amsterdam UMC
Approved WMO	
Date:	02-10-2013
Application type:	Amendment
Review commission:	METC Amsterdam UMC

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register

CCMO

ID

NL28142.029.09