CRT Selection Study, A single-center, prospective study to assess response in patients treated with cardiac resynchronization therapy

Published: 18-04-2011 Last updated: 04-05-2024

The main objective of this study is:• To determine the optimal site to position the left ventricular pacing lead for each individual patient with an ischemicCardiomyopathy (CMP). The site is selected based on the latest mechanical activated site and...

Ethical review	Approved WMO
Status	Will not start
Health condition type	Heart failures
Study type	Observational invasive

Summary

ID

NL-OMON36341

Source ToetsingOnline

Brief title CSS, CRT Selection Study

Condition

• Heart failures

Synonym Cardiomyopathy, Heart Failure

Research involving Human

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum

1 - CRT Selection Study, A single-center, prospective study to assess response in p \dots 30-06-2025

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Ministerie van OC&W

Intervention

Keyword: CRT-D, Optimisation, PV-Loop

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Optimal position of the lead is defined as the one providing optimal acute

hemodynamics (defined by the highest Stroke

Volume as measured by Pressure-Volume recordings compared to Atrial

paced-Ventricle sensed pacing configuration)

Secondary outcome

Assessment of correlation between dp/dt & volumes (PV loops recordings) and

optimal position of pacing wire

Assessment of electrical parameters: correlation between QRS width and

electrical activation patterns

Study description

Background summary

Background of the study: Today it is relatively well accepted that cardiac resynchronization therapy improves hemodynamic parameters, exercise capacity, symptoms, and quality of life and also reduces hospitalization among patients with severe heart failure, impaired LV function and widened QRS complex usually with a left bundle branch block. Devices that combine biventricular pacing with an implantable defibrillator have moreover demonstrated a significant reduction in arrhythmic death in high-risk population. The responsible mechanisms are believed to include improved synchrony of the timing of left and right ventricular (RV) systole (interventricular synchrony), improved synchrony of the different segments of the left ventricle (intraventricular synchrony), and a reduction in mitral regurgitation. However, it was noted that 25% to 30% of patients did not respond to CRT, emphasizing the need for better selection criteria. In this frame, the resynchronization of pre-existent LV dyssynchrony is considered a major player in determining the response to CRT [Yu, Bax]. Indeed detailed echocardiographic assessment using tissue Doppler echocardiography showed that patients with left bundle-branch block (LBBB) have marked intraventricular dyssynchrony that can be improved by biventricular pacing. [Yu Circ 2002]. Another potential reason for non-response to CRT (in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy) may be the presence of extensive scar tissue in the region of the tip of the LV pacing lead [Bleeker et all Circ 2007]

Study objective

The main objective of this study is:

• To determine the optimal site to position the left ventricular pacing lead for each individual patient with an ischemic

Cardiomyopathy (CMP). The site is selected based on the latest mechanical activated site and the latest electrical activated

site, whatever pacing configuration tested.

• To assess changes in activation pattern and the hemodynamic effects due to (single- or dual-site) left ventricular and

biventricular pacing.

Study design

This clinical trial is a monocenter, prospective, feasibility, non-randomized pilot study.

All patients taking part in this study will undergo the implantation of a CRT-D device with transvenous leads. After

successful implantation and after hospital discharge, patient will be followed for 6 months after implant. The invasive part

of the study contents two phases where in phase I PV-loop measurements are performed. In

phase II the device will be implanted at the pre-defined optimal lead position(s) and pacing configuration.

Patients will attend protocol scheduled visits before implant (pre-implant evaluation), and post-implant: pre-hospital

discharge and at 6 months.

Study burden and risks

There is a mild extra risk in concordance to the regular diangnostics in patient with inschemic heartfailure, as MRI, echocardiography and electrophysiologic evaluation. During the first invasive fase Pressure-volume loops are measurend using a conductance catheter in the left ventricle. The risk of left ventricular catherization is similar to regular evaluation of coronary arteriography in patients with coronary artery disease.

Contacts

Public Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum

de Boelelaan 1117 1081 HV Amsterdam NL **Scientific** Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum

de Boelelaan 1117 1081 HV Amsterdam NL

Trial sites

Listed location countries

Netherlands

Eligibility criteria

Age

Adults (18-64 years) Elderly (65 years and older)

Inclusion criteria

CRT-D conventional indication QRS width > 120 ms Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF) < 35%

4 - CRT Selection Study, A single-center, prospective study to assess response in p ... 30-06-2025

Left Bundle Branch Block (LBBB)

Exclusion criteria

History of chronic AF MI within the last 3 months previous pacemaker or ICD implanted Claudicatio intermittens or other significant arterial vein issues in the aortic-iliac route Moderate to severe aortic valve stenosis or indication for valve surgery or mechanical aortic valve replacement or thrombus in Left Ventricle Age <18 years or > 80 years Heart failure NYHA class IV

Study design

Design

Study type: Observational invasive	
Masking:	Open (masking not used)
Control:	Uncontrolled
Primary purpose:	Other

Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Will not start
Enrollment:	30
Туре:	Anticipated

Ethics review

18-04-2011
First submission
METC Amsterdam UMC

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register CCMO ID NL31808.029.10