

# Flexible versus Acrylic Removable Partial Dentures (RPDs) for Provisionalization in the Anterior Region: Oral Health-Related Quality of Life and Patient Satisfaction

No registrations found.

<b>Ethical review</b>	Not applicable
<b>Status</b>	Pending
<b>Health condition type</b>	-
<b>Study type</b>	Interventional

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON29507

### Source

Nationaal Trial Register

### Brief title

Flexible versus acrylic partial dentures

### Health condition

Missing teeth

## Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** UMCG

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** ZonMW, Boeringstichting

## Intervention

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

OHRQoL (5-point Likert-type scale)

## Secondary outcome

overall satisfaction (VAS 1-100), function, comfort and esthetics (5-point Likert-type scale)

## Study description

### Background summary

Rationale: During the healing period after ridge preservation/ridge augmentation procedures prior to implant placement, an acrylic resin tissue-supported removable partial denture (RPD) can be used as a provisional restoration in the anterior region for esthetic and functional reasons. Patients are only moderately satisfied with an acrylic RPD as a provisional restoration. A flexible design RPD might prove beneficial for patients with regard to Oral Health-related Quality of Life (OHRQoL), overall satisfaction, function, comfort and esthetics. Therefore, the aim of this within subject comparison study is to compare the patient satisfaction between a flexible RPD and an acrylic RPD with regard to OHRQoL, overall satisfaction, function, comfort, and esthetics. Objective: The primary objective is to compare OHRQoL with regard to a flexible RPD and an acrylic RPD. The second objective is to compare patient satisfaction between a flexible RPD and an acrylic RPD with regard to overall satisfaction, function, comfort, and esthetics. Study design: The study is designed as a within subject comparison study. Study population: Adult patients with a missing incisor, canine or premolar in the maxilla are included in this study. Intervention: The ridge preservation/ridge augmentation procedure will be performed according to standard protocol. During the 3-month healing period after surgery, the patients will receive a flexible RPD or acrylic RPD as a provisional restoration for the first 1.5 months according to the assigned study group. After 1.5 months, the RPD is switched. Main study parameters/endpoints: The main study parameter is OHRQoL. Nature and extent of the burden and risks associated with participation, benefit and group relatedness: The patients will receive one extra appointment for research purposes only in addition to the regular treatment protocol. The described parameters will be collected during regular appointments.

### Study objective

Flexible RPD's have a higher impact on OHRQoL compared to acrylic RPD's.

### Study design

0 months, 1,5 months, 3 months

### Intervention

Flexible RPD (1,5 month), acrylic RPD (1,5 month)

## Contacts

### **Public**

University Medical Center Groningen  
Vincent Donker

+31681723511

### **Scientific**

University Medical Center Groningen  
Vincent Donker

+31681723511

## Eligibility criteria

### **Inclusion criteria**

- One missing or failing tooth (for at least 3 months), being an incisor (central or lateral), canine or premolar in the maxilla, the adjacent teeth are natural teeth;
- Large bony defect that requires a ridge preservation/ridge augmentation procedure for implant placement;
- Adequate oral hygiene (modified plaque index and modified sulcus bleeding index);
- Sufficient mesio-distal, bucco-lingual, and interocclusal space for placement of an anatomic restoration;
- The patient is capable of understanding and giving informed consent.

### **Exclusion criteria**

- Medical and general contraindications for the surgical procedures;
- Presence of an active and uncontrolled periodontal disease;
- Bruxism;
- Smoking;
- A history of local radiotherapy to the head and neck region.

## Study design

## Design

Study type:	Interventional
Intervention model:	Crossover
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Single blinded (masking used)
Control:	Active

## Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Pending
Start date (anticipated):	11-09-2020
Enrollment:	30
Type:	Anticipated

## IPD sharing statement

**Plan to share IPD:** Yes

## Ethics review

Not applicable	
Application type:	Not applicable

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

**In other registers**

Register	ID
NTR-new	NL8868
Other	METc UMCG : TBA

**Study results**