The voiding position study - a replicated randomised single case experiment.

No registrations found.

Ethical review Positive opinion **Status** Recruitment stopped

Health condition type -

Study type Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON28478

Source

Nationaal Trial Register

Brief title

The voiding position study (RRSCE)

Health condition

Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS).

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Maastricht University Care and Public Health Research Insitute Mr. E. van den Heuvel Universiteitssingel 40 P.O. Box 616 6200 MD Maastricht The Netherlands tel. * 31 43 388 2822 email e.vandenheuvel@caphri.unimaas.nl

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development

Intervention

Outcome measures

Peak Urinary Flow Rate.

Secondary outcome

- 1. Mean urinary flow rate;
- 2. Mean micturition time;
- 3. Experienced differences.

Study description

Background summary

The voiding position study (RRSCE)

1. Background:

The Dutch guidelines for the management of Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) recommend general lifestyle advices. One of these is to void in a sitting position. This advice is not evidence-based. Little research has been done. The results are not conclusive. It seems that the standing position may be most favourable, contrary to the advice in the guideline.

2. Onderzoeksvragen:

Primary research question:

Does the peak urinary flow rate differ between a sitting or standing voiding position?

Secundary research questions:

Does the mean urinary flow rate differ between a sitting or standing voiding position?

Does the micturition time differ between a sitting or standing voiding position?

Do men with moderate LUTS experience differences in symptoms between a sitting or standing voiding position?

3. Design:

replicated randomized single case experiment.

Study objective

The position in which men with Lower urinary tract symptoms are voiding may have an influence on the quality of the voiding.

The Dutch guidelines for the treatment of LUTS in general practice recommend patients to void in a sitting position. It is unclear whether this advise is correct.

The (little) available evidence suggests it may even be wrong.

Study design

N/A

Intervention

Two positions in which men can void are compared:

- 1. Sitting;
- 2. Standing.

Contacts

Public

University Maastricht (UM), Department of General Practice, P.O. Box 616 Roelf J.C. Norg P. Debyeplein 1

Maastricht 6200 MD

3 - The voiding position study - a replicated randomised single case experiment. 15-06-2025

The Netherlands +31 (0)43 3882802

Scientific

University Maastricht (UM), Department of General Practice, P.O. Box 616 Roelf J.C. Norg P. Debyeplein 1

Maastricht 6200 MD The Netherlands +31 (0)43 3882802

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Men;
- 2. Age \geq 55 years;
- 3. Moderate LUTS, i.e. total score on the International Prostate Symptom Score 8-19.

Exclusion criteria

History of surgery for LUTS, e.g. prostatectomy; current use of medication that may influence voiding, e.g. diuretics, anticholinergic medication, alpha-blockers, 5-alph-reductase inhibitors.

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Crossover

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

4 - The voiding position study - a replicated randomised single case experiment. 15-06-2025

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruitment stopped

Start date (anticipated): 01-08-2004

Enrollment: 21

Type: Actual

Ethics review

Positive opinion

Date: 25-08-2005

Application type: First submission

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

NTR-new NL115

NTR-old NTR146

Other : 17

ISRCTN ISRCTN62736854

Study results

Summary results