Protocol for the Value of Urodynamics prior to Stress Incontinence Surgery (VUSIS) study: a multicenter randomized controlled trial to assess the cost effectiveness of urodynamics in women with symptoms of stress urinary incontinence in whom surgical treatment is considered.

No registrations found.

Ethical review Positive opinion **Status** Recruiting

Health condition type

Study type Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON24532

Source

Nationaal Trial Register

Brief title

VUSIS

Health condition

urodynamics stress incontinence urodynamisch onderzoek stressincontinentie

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: ZonMw: The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and

Development

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: ZonMw: The Netherlands Organisation for

Health Research and Development.

Projectnumber 945-07-203.

Intervention

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

The primary outcome of this study is clinical improvement of incontinence as measured with the validated Dutch version of the UDI.

Secondary outcome

Secondary outcomes of this study include costs, cure of incontinence as measured with voiding diaries, complications such as re-operation or overactive bladder symptoms, and quality of life.

Study description

Background summary

Background:

Stress urinary incontinence (SUI) is a common problem. In the Netherlands, yearly 64.000 new patients, of whom 96% are women, consult their general practitioner because of urinary incontinence. Approximately 7500 urodynamic evaluations and approximately 5000 operations for SUI are performed every year. In all major national and international guidelines from both gynaecological and urological scientific societies, it is advised to perform urodynamics prior to invasive treatment for SUI, but neither its effectiveness nor its costeffectiveness has been assessed in a randomized setting.

The Value of Urodynamics prior to Stress Incontinence Surgery (VUSIS) study evaluates the positive and negative effects with regard to outcome, as well as the costs of urodynamics, in women with symptoms of SUI in whom surgical treatment is considered.

Study design:

A multicentre diagnostic cohort study will be performed with an embedded randomized controlled trial among women presenting with symptoms of (predominant) SUI. Urinary incontinence has to be demonstrated on clinical examination and/or voiding diary. Physiotherapy must have failed and surgical treatment needs to be under consideration. Patients will be excluded in case of previous incontinence surgery, in case of pelvic organ prolapse more than 1 centimeter beyond the hymen and/or in case of residual bladder volume of more than 150 milliliter on ultrasound or catheterisation.

Patients with discordant findings between the diagnosis based on urodynamic investigation and the diagnosis based on their history, clinical examination and/or micturition diary will be randomized to operative therapy or individually tailored therapy based on all available information.

Patients will be followed for two years after treatment by their attending urologist or gynaecologist, in combination with the completion of questionnaires.

Six hundred female patients will be recruited for registration from approximately twentyseven hospitals in the Netherlands. We aspect that one hundred and two women with discordant findings will be randomized.

The primary outcome of this study is clinical improvement of incontinence as measured with the validated Dutch version of the Urinary Distress Inventory (UDI). Secondary outcomes of this study include costs, cure of incontinence as measured by voiding diary parameters, complications related to the intervention, re-interventions, and generic quality of life changes.

Study objective

For this study our hypothesis was that there is no difference between outcome of surgery and individually tailored therapy in women with a discrepancy between urodynamic findings and findings from other investigations, such as history and clinical examination. In case of confirmation of this hypothesis, urodynamic investigation in women with predominant SUI could be safely omitted.

Study design

T=0, follow-up at 6 weeks, 6, 12 en 24 months.

Intervention

Midurethral sling procedure versus individual treatment.

Contacts

Public

Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre 791, Dept of Obstetrics and Gynecology PO Box 9101 Sanne Leijsen van Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre 791, Dept of Obstetrics and Gynecology Nijmegen 6500 HB The Netherlands +31 641520114

Scientific

Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre 791, Dept of Obstetrics and Gynecology PO Box 9101 Sanne Leiisen van Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre 791, Dept of Obstetrics and Gynecology Nijmegen 6500 HB The Netherlands +31 641520114

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Symptoms of stress urinary incontinence and/or mixed urinary incontinence, predominantly stress incontinence;
- 2. Signs of stress urinary incontinence on physical examination or voiding diary;
- 3. Patient is a candidate for surgical treatment (as based on history and physical examination);
- 4. Patient has attended at least 3 months of pelvic floor exercises;
- 5. Patient is capable to fill out bladder diaries, pad tests and guestionnaires and understands the Dutch written and spoken language.

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Previous incontinence surgery;
- 2. Mixed urinary incontinence, urge component is predominant;
- 3. Pelvic organ prolapse > 1cm beyond the hymen on Valsalva in supine position;
- 4. Post void urinary residual > 150ml on ultrasound or catheterisation;
- 5. Additional pelvic surgery (prolapse and/or hysterectomy);
- 6. Patient is or wants to become pregnant;
- 7. Prior pelvic radiotherapy.

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Open (masking not used)

Control: Active

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting
Start date (anticipated): 01-01-2008

Enrollment: 600

Type: Anticipated

Ethics review

Positive opinion

Date: 19-06-2009

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

ID: 30485

Bron: ToetsingOnline

Titel:

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

NTR-new NL1761 NTR-old NTR1871

CCMO NL14625.091.06

ISRCTN wordt niet meer aangevraagd.

OMON NL-OMON30485

Study results

Summary results

N/A