Suture Techniques to reduce the Incidence of The inCisional Hernia.

No registrations found.

Ethical review Positive opinion **Status** Recruiting

Health condition type -

Study type Interventional

Summary

ID

NL-OMON23451

Source

NTR

Brief title

STITCH

Health condition

Abdominal surgery, midline incisions, suture technique, incisional hernia, burst abdomen, wound infection

Sponsors and support

Primary sponsor: Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam

Source(s) of monetary or material Support: Erasmus MC internal cost efficacy grant

(MRACE 2009)=intiator

Intervention

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

Incisional hernia occurence within one year clinically and/or radiographically detected.

Secondary outcome

1 - Suture Techniques to reduce the Incidence of The inCisional Hernia. 26-04-2025

- Postoperative complications => burst abdomen and wound infection;
 Pain;
 Quality of life;
 - 4. Cost effectiveness.

Study description

Background summary

Incisional hernia is the most common complication after abdominal surgery with a reported incidence of

up to 15% at 1 year follow-up. In The Netherlands, 100.000 laparotomies and 4000 incisional hernia

repairs are performed annually. The costs of hernia repair (4 kEuro) hence amount to over 16 million

euro. Moreover, many patients with incisional hernia are not re-operated due to anticipated recurrence

rates of 30-60%. The major factor for development of incisional hernia is the surgical wound failure due

to insufficient suture techniques. The latter complication, which occurs in 1-4% of abdominal surgery,

involves bursting of the abdominal wound and the muscle layers, which causes the intestines

protrude from the incision. It is associated with a high incidence of surgical site infections, prolonged

hospital stay and high mortality rates.

Recent clinical and experimental data suggest that a relatively new technique with many small tissue

bites should be more effective in the prevention of incisional hernia when compared to the standard

large bite technique.

We propose a multicenter double blind RCT to compare the routinely used large bite technique with the small bites technique.

Objective of the study:

Primary question:

- 1. Which bite size should be used to close a midline incision to prevent incisional hernia?
- Secondary questions:
- 2. Is there a difference in postoperative complications between the two patient groups?
- 3. Is there a difference in postoperative pain between the two patient groups?
- 4. Is there a difference in postoperative quality of life between the two patient groups?
- 5. Is it cost-effective to use the small bites technique?

The trial will be a double blinded randomized controlled prospective trial, in which the large bites

technique will be compared with the small bites technique. Patients will be preoperatively randomized in

two groups to either receive closure with the large tissue bites technique or with the small tissue bites

technique. Patients will be kept unaware of the procedure until the endpoint of the trial. Surgeons or

surgical residents and radiologists blinded for the procedure will do outpatient clinic controls.

Study population:

550 surgical patients who will undergo a midline laparotomy will be asked to join this study.

Study objective

Recent clinical and experimental data suggest that a relatively new technique with many small tissue

bites should be more effective in the prevention of incisional hernia when compared to the standard

large bite technique.

We propose a multicenter double blind RCT to compare the routinely used large bite technique with the small bites technique.

Study design

At 1 and 12 months, a clinical examination and ultrasound examination will be performed by an examiner blinded to the procedure to examine the midline for any (a-)symptomatic incisional hernias.

Participating patients will be asked to fill in booklets containing quality of life questionnaires before surgery and at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery.

Intervention

In one group of 275 patients the conventional large bites technique will be applied with bites widths of

1,5 cm and inter suture spacing of 1 cm with the use of slowly absorbable 1-0 double loop suture

material with a 48 mm needle.

In the other group of 275 patients the small bites technique will be applied with bite widths of 0,5 cm

and inter suture spacing of 0,5 cm with the use of slowly absorbable 2-0 single suture material with a

36 mm needle only in the linea alba. In the small bites technique there will be twice as many stitches

with a smaller needle and thinner suture material.

Contacts

Public

Postbus 2040 E.B. Deerenberg Rotterdam 3000 CA The Netherlands

+31 (0)6 33335280

Scientific

Postbus 2040

E.B. Deerenberg

Rotterdam 3000 CA

The Netherlands

+31 (0)6 33335280

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Signed informed consent;
- 2. Midline incision;
 - 4 Suture Techniques to reduce the Incidence of The inCisional Hernia. 26-04-2025

3. Age >= 18 years.

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Previous midline incision within 3 months before surgery;
- 2. Previous incisional hernia or burst;
- 3. Abdoman after a midline incision;
- 4. Pregnancy.

Study design

Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model: Parallel

Allocation: Randomized controlled trial

Masking: Double blinded (masking used)

Control: Active

Recruitment

NL

Recruitment status: Recruiting
Start date (anticipated): 01-10-2009

Enrollment: 550

Type: Anticipated

Ethics review

Positive opinion

Date: 12-10-2009

Application type: First submission

Study registrations

Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

In other registers

Register ID

NTR-new NL1935 NTR-old NTR2052

Other MEC/NL: 2009-026/26225.078.09

ISRCTN Wordt niet meer aangevraagd.

Study results

Summary results

N/A