

# The impact of BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology in Venflon™ Pro Safety needles on first attempt success rate of peripheral intravenous cannulation in adult patients, a double-blinded and randomized controlled trial

No registrations found.

<b>Ethical review</b>	Positive opinion
<b>Status</b>	Pending
<b>Health condition type</b>	-
<b>Study type</b>	Interventional

## Summary

### ID

NL-OMON20431

### Source

Nationaal Trial Register

### Brief title

INSTAFLASH

### Health condition

Indication for peripheral intravenous cannulation, regardless the medical specialism or type of surgery they are admitted for.

## Sponsors and support

**Primary sponsor:** Catharina Ziekenhuis

**Source(s) of monetary or material Support:** Catharina Ziekenhuis

## Intervention

## Outcome measures

### Primary outcome

The primary objective is stated as the first attempt success rate of peripheral intravenous cannulation.

### Secondary outcome

As secondary objectives, we are interested in the effects of the Venflon™ (Pro Safety with or without the BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology) on the time needed for intravenous cannulation, the total number of attempts needed for successful cannulation, patients and practitioners satisfaction, pain score upon intravenous cannulation, practitioners satisfaction, and complications or side effects.

## Study description

### Background summary

Although advances have been made by recent research, focus seems mainly to be on the procedure of peripheral intravenous cannulation itself. Research on the impact of the peripheral intravenous catheter on the success rate is lacking, although innovations are made by manufactures of these medical products. Peripheral intravenous catheters are designed to gain access to peripheral veins of the patient's circulatory system. Correct placement of the catheter into the vein during the procedure of peripheral intravenous cannulation can be observed by blood in the notch of the catheter. Becton Dickinson (BD) Venflon™ products will incorporate the BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology feature for faster visualization of flashback via a notch in the cannula. Faster visualization of this flashback of blood into the catheter is thought to increase first attempt success rate. The first attempt success rate of peripheral intravenous cannulation with the traditional needle (Venflon™ Pro Safety) was 81% in a previous study. A success rate of 90% on the first attempt is mentioned as clinically relevant and acceptable in clinical practice. We therefore hypothesize that the first attempt success rate of peripheral intravenous catheter placement will increase up to 90% in adult patients when a Venflon™ Pro Safety with BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology is inserted, when compared to a Venflon™ Pro Safety without the BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology.

### Study objective

The hypothesis to be proved in this study project is: "first attempt success ratio of peripheral intravenous catheter placement will increase up to 90% in adult patients when a Venflon™ Pro Safety with BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology is inserted, when compared to a Venflon™ Pro Safety without the BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology".

## Study design

The study will be performed in two study groups. In patients in the intervention group, a Venflon™ Pro Safety with the BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology will be inserted. In patients in the control group, a Venflon™ Pro Safety without the BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology will be inserted. Treatment in both study groups is equal, only the inserted catheter differs between both groups. Registrations of data upon the procedure of peripheral intravenous cannulation will be performed after inserting the catheter successfully.

## Intervention

A peripheral intravenous catheter is a small hollow catheter that is advanced over a needle into a peripheral vein through the skin. There are four consecutive stages for peripheral intravenous cannulation, namely: (1) insertion of over-the-needle cannula into the vein, (2) confirming blood flow into the device, (3) advancing the cannula, and (4) saline flushing or starting the intravenous administration of fluids. Nurse anesthetists, who are familiar with the study protocol, routinely obtain the peripheral intravenous access. A peripheral intravenous catheter will be inserted in the upper extremity, and veins on the dorsal and ventral surfaces of the upper extremity are considered for peripheral cannulation, including the metacarpal, cephalic, basilic, and median veins. Intravenous cannulation was performed according to practice guidelines. The size of the inserted intravenous catheters ranged between 14 to 22 gauge, whereas the size of the catheter depended on the clinical situation. After each puncture, the practitioner checked whether the attempt was successful or not. The traditional landmark technique was applied. Before cannulation, a tourniquet was secured around the chosen arm, at least ten centimeters proximal to the elbow crease, and palpated and visualized to identify and acceptable vein. The tourniquet was tightened while maintaining pulsations of the radial artery. The puncture side was prepped with Chlorhexidine 70%. We define an attempt as one percutaneous needle puncture, regardless the amount of subcutaneous exploration from the single puncture site. After a failed attempt, a new attempt will be stated as any change in localizing a vein, followed by a new percutaneous puncture. Peripheral intravenous cannulation will be defined successful, if blood returns in the catheter and/or when a saline flush could be injected without compromising the vein and signs of subcutaneous injection were absent, and if the practitioner was able to inject a saline flush without signs of infiltration.

Investigational product/treatment: In patients in the intervention group, a Venflon™ Pro Safety with the BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology will be inserted. In patients in the control group, a Venflon™ Pro Safety without the BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology will be inserted. Treatment in both study groups is equal, only the inserted catheter differs between both groups. Following figure shows a Venflon™ Pro Safety with the BD InstaFlash™ Needle Technology, which is inserted in the needle. Treatment in both study groups is equal. Every surgical patient, admitted to the theatre complex, gets an intravenous catheter inserted. The procedure of peripheral intravenous cannulation does not differ between both study groups, except for the needle. The only difference between the intervention and control group is the needle that is used during the venous puncture, the rest of the device is comparable for both study groups. Nonetheless, after successful peripheral intravenous cannulation and according to the guidelines will the needle be removed after cannulation and will only the catheter be

left in the patient. This catheter is equal for both types of products and the final device that will be left in the patient is identical.

## Contacts

### Public

Catharina Ziekenhuis  
Fredericus HJ van Loon

0031402399111

### Scientific

Catharina Ziekenhuis  
Fredericus HJ van Loon

0031402399111

## Eligibility criteria

### Inclusion criteria

In order to be eligible to participate in this study, a subject must meet all of the following criteria: subjects must be in the age of 18 years or older; subjects must be conscious and be able to adequately answer questions.

### Exclusion criteria

A potential subject who meets any of the following criteria will be excluded from participation in this study: when an intravenous catheter is already inserted on the ward; are unwilling or unable to provide consent to participate; do not understand questions or generate adequate data, due to physical or communicational disorders.

## Study design

### Design

Study type: Interventional

Intervention model:	Parallel
Allocation:	Randomized controlled trial
Masking:	Double blinded (masking used)
Control:	Placebo

## Recruitment

NL	
Recruitment status:	Pending
Start date (anticipated):	01-07-2019
Enrollment:	660
Type:	Anticipated

## IPD sharing statement

**Plan to share IPD:** Undecided

## Ethics review

Positive opinion	
Date:	21-05-2019
Application type:	First submission

## Study registrations

### Followed up by the following (possibly more current) registration

No registrations found.

### Other (possibly less up-to-date) registrations in this register

No registrations found.

## In other registers

Register	ID
NTR-new	NL7753

**Register**

Other

**ID**

MEC-U : W19.102

## Study results